

Report to :	Tameside Strategic Partnership Board.
Date :	11 th September 2009.
Reporting Officer:	Megan Nurse – Assistant Chief Executive, Performance and Change, Tameside MBC.
Subject :	Local Area Agreement (LAA) and Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA) update.
Report Summary :	<p>Update to the TSP Board on developments in the Local Area Agreement (LAA) and Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA).</p> <p>The report provides the Board with an update on progress against the LAA at year end 2008/09, and latest news regarding the Area Assessment element of CAA.</p>
Recommendations :	That the report is noted and action taken where appropriate.
Policy Implications :	The priorities within the LAA are drawn from existing work of the Tameside Strategic Partnership (TSP) Board and Thematic Partnerships. The effectiveness of the LAA and the achievement of outcomes against these priorities impacts directly on all the themes of the Community Strategy. The Community Strategy and the LAA will be important pieces of evidence in CAA.
Financial Implications:	No direct financial implications.
Links to Community Strategy :	The Community Strategy and LAA are key documents outlining the aims of the TSP and its partners to improve the borough of Tameside (agreed in consultation with local residents). The LAA is an important element in the achievement of the Community Strategy and improving the quality of life in Tameside. The Community Strategy and the LAA will be important pieces of evidence in CAA.

1.0 Local Area Agreement (LAA)

1.1 Attached are the Year End 2008/09 performance monitoring tables for the Tameside LAA 2008-11.

1.2 Target judgements are included for all indicators where possible.

1.3 Performance at Year End 2008/09 is good. For those indicators where a judgement can be made,

- **70% reported improved performance (compared to the baseline).**

- **50% achieved (or on course to achieve) target .**

		No.	%
IMPROVING	▲	43	70%
NO CHANGE	◀▶	6	10%
DECLINING	▼	12	20%

		No.	%
ON TARGET	G	29	50%
ACTION	R	29	50%

1.4 For completeness and information the attached tables report the full LAA indicator set. Those indicators where judgements can be made are clearly highlighted with Red / Green ratings. **Risk areas can be quickly identified by the red ratings.**

1.5 Improvement Plans have been prepared for all indicators rated as Red for target achievement. The plans set out additional contextual information and actions to improve performance. The Improvement Plans are available to TSP Board members upon request.

1.6 2008/09 has been a challenging year for the LAA, particularly due to the impact of the economic downturn (directly and indirectly) on a number of measures across the agreement.

1.7 Notable achievements in 2008/09 include;

- 555 fewer crimes in our targeted high crime areas.
- 396 fewer incidents of arson
- 32 fewer accidental dwelling fires. And did you know nearly a quarter of homes have now had a Home Fire Risk Assessment (HFRA).
- 143 new businesses were supported to start up in 2008/09 (compared to 95 in 2007/08)
- 40% of young people achieved a Level 3 qualification, up a third since 2004.
- 74% of pupils achieved a Level 4 or better qualification in English and Maths at Key Stage 2, above the national average.
- Persistent absence in secondary schools dropped to a low of 5.7%.
- Street cleanliness remains good with Tameside amongst the top 25% of areas in the country for clean streets (up from the bottom 25% a few years ago)
- 584 cases of homelessness prevented, over 200 more than last year.
- More people doing 30 minutes of exercise three times a week.
- 1837 local residents quit smoking in the last twelve months.
- Over 98% of vulnerable people were supported to maintain independent lives.
- 93 older people took part in regular volunteering as CORA advisors supporting other older people in the community.

- 1.8 But we are not complacent. Quality of life in Tameside is improving but we want to build on this and make Tameside an even better place to live and work. Our challenges for the next, and future, years include;
- Crime – reducing re-offending. Reducing re-offending will have a significant impact on overall crime levels and feelings of safety in the community. Tameside is a pilot for the Integrated Offender Management (IOM) system which puts a joined up approach in place to manage offending behaviour and create sustainable futures for offenders.
 - Economy – worklessness. Reducing worklessness remains an important priority for Tameside. We are targeting our Working Neighbourhoods Funding (WNF) at tackling the issue. Tameside Works First (TWF) is a £12million partnership response to the recession ensuring that Tameside is well positioned to respond to the challenges of the current economic downturn.
 - Health – life expectancy. Increasing life expectancy remains of great importance to Tameside. Our work to improve life expectancy is focused around four contributory factors; obesity, smoking, alcohol and exercise. The new £9.8million Primary Care Centre in Ashton will provide world class health care in the heart of one of our most deprived communities.
- 1.9 The LAA Steering Group and LAA Executive met on 14th May 2009 and 8th June 2009 respectively to review performance at the end of 2008/09 and discuss the Improvement Plans.
- 1.10 The LAA Executive takes responsibility for the LAA on behalf of the TSP Board and reports on progress and performance to the Board, so complementing the operational role of the LAA Steering Group and providing assurance to Board members.
- 1.11 The LAA Steering Group is delegated to negotiate and develop the LAA, and meets on a quarterly basis to review reports on performance. The Steering Group is also responsible for preparing reports to Government Office North West (GONW) and working with GONW on the annual review and refresh of the LAA.
- 1.12 Each Thematic Partnership monitors the relevant indicators from the LAA (as part of their partnership agreement with the TSP Board). **The Thematic Partnerships and associated sub-groups are responsible for identifying risks and developing action plans to improve performance.**

2.0 Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA)

- 2.1 The TSP Self Evaluation 2009 was submitted to the Audit Commission on 14th July 2009. Following this the Audit Commission raised a number of queries against the Self Evaluation. These queries were focused on the red / green tags which the Audit Commission identified earlier in the year. Additional information and evidence has been submitted in response to these queries.
- 2.2 In May 2009 the Audit Commission outlined six red / green tags. These were;
- Green
- Vulnerable People – help to live independently.
 - Attractive Tameside – parks, open spaces, Green Flags / Pennants, In Bloom.
 - Engagement – residents have a say in services they receive.
- Red
- Housing – enough being done to address housing market challenges
 - Health – outcomes and inequalities.
 - Crime – some categories of crime increasing

- 2.3 Red / green flags are given on the basis of *'significant concerns about future improvement or to highlight exceptional performance or improvement'*. Green flags represent exceptional performance or outstanding improvement which is resulting in proven delivery of better outcomes for local people that are sustainable and which others could learn from. A red flag is reported where there are significant concerns about outcomes, which are not being tackled adequately. A red flag means that inspectorates have jointly judged that something different or additional needs to happen to improve outcomes.
- 2.4 Before an issue becomes a flag it is highlighted by the 'joint inspectorates' as a tag. A tag is an area of focus which requires further investigation. After this further investigation, and additional analysis and evidence submission, the 'joint Inspectorates' decided whether to convert the red / green tag to a red / green flag. The red / green flags are then published in the annual CAA report on the 'oneplace' website at the end of the year.
- 2.5 The CAA Action Group have been leading the response to the area assessment in Tameside. For example, the Action Group supported the development of the Tameside Self Evaluation 2009 which was submitted to the Audit Commission on 14th July 2009. The Action Group includes representatives from Tameside Council, Greater Manchester Police, Greater Manchester Fire Services, NHS Tameside & Glossop, Tameside 3rd Sector Coalition, New Charter Housing, Tameside Foundation (Acute) Trust and Pennine Care Mental Health Trust.
- 2.6 Where further analysis is required meetings have been set up between relevant Tameside and inspectorate representatives to ensure all questions are answered and the appropriate evidence made available. For example, Greater Manchester Police and Tameside Council met with the Audit Commission on 13th August 2009 to discuss in detail the crime red tag.
- 2.7 The CAA 'Joint Inspectorate' round table meeting took place on 4th September 2009 to agree the red / green flags (if any) for Tameside.
- 2.8 The Audit Commission presented their view of the red / green flags (if any) and detailed findings from the area assessment to the Tameside LAA Executive on 10th September 2009. A verbal update will be given at the TSP Board meeting.
- 2.9 **Next Steps** - The key milestones leading up to the publication of CAA reports on 10th December 2009 are summarised below.
- | | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 4 th September 2009 | Joint inspectorate round table to agree red / green flags (if any) for Tameside. |
| 10 th September 2009 | Tameside LAA Executive meeting – Audit Commission to present their view of the red / green flags (if any) and detailed findings from the area assessment. |
| 11 th September 2009 | TSP Board meeting – CAA update report including verbal update on Audit Commission report to LAA Executive on 10 th September 2009. |
| 25 th September 2009 | Deadline for Tameside to provide any remaining evidence for inclusion in the 2009 assessment. The Tameside CAA Action Group will co-ordinate any additional evidence that is required. |
| 19 th October 2009 | Draft area assessment narrative and flags shared with Tameside. |
| 28 th October 2009 | Deadline for Tameside to request a review (appeal) of draft area assessment narrative and flags. |
| 27 th November 2009 | Tameside notified of outcome of review (appeal), if applicable. |
| 4 th December 2009 | Final area assessment narrative and flags shared with Tameside. |
| 10 th December 2009 | Area Assessment report published on the 'oneplace' website. |

- 2.10 **Review (appeal) process** - The 'Joint Inspectorates' state the LSPs should not wait until the end of the assessment process to raise issues that could reasonably have been resolved during the course of the area assessment. The Inspectorates expect the LSP to raise any issues at the earliest opportunity with its CAA lead (CAAL) so that they can be dealt with as soon as they arise. However, if an issue remains unresolved, the LSP may request a formal review under this Procedure on receipt of the pre-publication area assessment from the Inspectorates. A review may be sought if there is disagreement with the decision to issue a red flag (but not the non-issue of a green flag).
- 2.11 An application for review of a red flag in an area assessment must be authorised by the chair (or deputy chair) of the LSP on its behalf.

3.0 Appendices

- 3.1 Appendix A – Thameside LAA 2008-11 – Year End Performance 2008/09.

Tameside LAA Performance Monitor 2008-9: Year End

Lead Organisations

TMBC	Tameside MBC
GMP	GM Police
TGPCT	Tameside & Glossop PCT
GMFRS	GM Fire & Rescue
T3SC	Tameside 3rd Sector Coalition
LSC	Learning & Skills Council
CX	Connexions

Thematic Partnership

CDRP	Crime & Disorder
HoP	Housing
CCCCP	Cultural & Community Cohesion
CYP	Children & Young People
HP	Health
OPP	Older People
TELP	Economic & Learning

	DIRECTION OF TRAVEL	TARGET	
IMPROVING	▲	G	ON TARGET
NO CHANGE	◀▶	A	MONITOR
DECLINING	▼	R	ACTION
NOT APPLICABLE		n/a	NOT APPLICABLE

DESIGNATED	
STATUTORY	

Code	Measure	Polarity	Lead Agency	Thematic Partnership	Baseline		Year End 2008-09			Target 2008/9
					Data	Period	Performance	Direction of Travel	Target	

To reduce crime

Local 1	Overall crime - British Crime Survey (Number and rate per 1,000 population)	L	GMP	CDRP	Number : 13,243 Rate : 61.9	2007/8	Number : 13,478 Rate : 62.9	▼	R	5% reduction Number : 12,581 Rate : 58.8
Local 2	Crime inequalities - BCS (St. Peter's & St. Michael's) (Number and rate per 1,000 population)	L	GMP	CDRP	Number: 2,316 Rate: 104.4	2007/8	Number: 1,761 Rate: 79.4	▲	G	5.5% reduction Number: 2,189 Rate: 98.7
NIS 15	NI 15 - Serious violent crime rate (full measure in 2009/10)	L	TMBC	CDRP	Baseline to be developed in 2008/09		n/a	n/a	n/a	Targets to be set from 2008/09 baseline
	Serious violent crime rate (partial measure in 2008/09) (Number and rate per 1,000 population)	L		CDRP	Number: 116 Rate: 0.54	2007/08	Number: 135 Rate: 0.63	▼	R	10% reduction Number: 104 Rate: 0.5
NIS 16	Serious acquisitive crime rate (Number and rate per 1,000 population)	L	TMBC	CDRP	Number: 4,707 Rate: 21.9	2007/8	Number: 5,411 Rate: 25.2	▼	R	8% reduction Number: 4,330 Rate: 20.2
NIS 30	PPO - re-offending rate of PPOs	L	GMP	CDRP	Baseline to be developed in 2008/09		90 (Quarter 1-3)	n/a	G	Targets will be set when baseline data is available
NIS 33	Arson incidents - primary (Number and rate per 10,000 population)	L	GMFRS	CDRP	Rate: 16.7 Number: 357	2004-07	Rate: 11.2 Number: 241	▲	G	Rate 14.0 Number: 300
	Arson incidents - secondary (Number and rate per 10,000 population)	L	GMFRS	CDRP	Rate: 46.1 Number: 989	2007/09	Rate: 33.1 Number: 709	▲	G	Rate 43.8 Number: 939
	Arson incidents - total (Number and rate per 10,000 population)		GMFRS		Rate 62.8 Number: 1346		Rate: 44.3 Number: 950	▲	G	Rate 57.8 Number: 1239
NIS 17	Perceptions of anti-social behaviour (% of people that think anti-social behaviour is a problem)	L	TMBC	CDRP	2008/09 will be the baseline year		28.7% (+/- 3.3%)	n/a	n/a	Targets will be set when baseline data is available
		L		CDRP	40.0%	2007/08	38.8% (+/- 3%)	◀▶	n/a	38.5%
Local 3	Perceptions of increases/decreases in crime overall (% of people that think crime is increasing)	L	TMBC	CDRP	53%	2007/08	49% (+/- 3%)	▲	n/a	51.5%

To increase cohesion, participation and support vulnerable people

NIS 1	People from different backgrounds get on well together (%)	H	T3SC	CCCCP	2008/09 will be the baseline year		67.1% (+/- 4.1%)	n/a	n/a	Targets to be set from 2008/09 baseline
		H		CCCCP	56%	2007/8	55% (+/- 3%)	◀▶	n/a	57%

Code	Measure	Priority	Lead Agency	Thematic Partnership	Baseline		Year End 2008-09			Target 2008/9
					Data	Period	Performance	Direction of Travel	Target	
NIS 6	Participation in regular volunteering (% of people volunteering at least once a month, within the last 12 months)	H	T3SC	CCCP	2008/09 will be the baseline year		18.1% (+/- 3.0%)	n/a	n/a	Targets will be set when baseline data is available
		H			CCCP	27.45%	2008/09	27.5% (+/- 3%)	n/a	n/a
NIS 32	NI 32 - Repeat incidents of domestic violence	L	GMP	CDRP	Baseline to be developed in 2008/09		31% (2007 v. 2008)	n/a	n/a	Targets to be set from 2008/09 baseline
	DV - further incidents following MARAC 12 months	L			87.5%	2007/08	67.9%	▲	G	n/a
Local 4	Accidental dwelling fires (Number)	L	GMFRS	CDRP	168	2007/8	136	▲	G	150
Local 5	Homelessness cases prevented (Rate per 1,000 households and number)	H	TMBC	HoP	Rate: 3.82 Number: 371	2007/08	Rate: 5.97 Number: 584	▲	G	Rate: 4 Number: 390
Local 6	Satisfaction with local area (priority neighbourhoods) (% of people satisfied)	H	TMBC	CCCP	68%	2007	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

To improve the environment and public spaces

NIS 195	Improved street cleanliness : litter (% of land below standards of cleanliness)	L	TMBC	n/a	10%	2006/07	6%	▲	G	9%
	Improved street cleanliness : detritus (% of land below standards of cleanliness)	L	TMBC	n/a	14%	2006/07	9%	▲	G	13%
	Improved street cleanliness : graffiti (% of land below standards of cleanliness)	L	TMBC	n/a	4%	2006/07	2%	▲	G	4%
	Improved street cleanliness : fly-posting (% of land below standards of cleanliness)	L	TMBC	n/a	0%	2006/07	0%	▲	G	0%
NIS 192	% of household waste recycled/composted (% and tonnes)	H	TMBC	n/a	Rate: 24.28% Tonnes: 20,259	2006/07	Rate: 28.8% Tonnes: 22,634	▲	R	Rate: 30% Tonnes: 24,818
NIS 186	Per capita reduction in CO ₂ emissions in the LA area (% reduction to baseline) (tonnage and % change)	H	TMBC	n/a	6.1 tonnes	2005	5.9 tonnes per capita (2006)	n/a	n/a	-2.19% reduction on baseline
Local 7	Green Flags/Pennants (Number)	H	TMBC	n/a	12	2007	15	▲	G	13 (2008)

To improve outcomes for children and young people - enjoy and achieve

NI 93/94/95/96/97/98 - Key Stages 1 & 2 have five performance levels (Levels 1-5). Key Stage 3 & 4 have eight performance levels (Key Stage 3 - Level 1-8 / Key Stage 4 - A*-G). These indicators measure the % of pupils who have progressed two levels or more since the previous Key Stage. E.g. Key Stage 2 Level 3 to Key Stage 3 Level 5
Key Stage 3 Level 4 to Key Stage 4/GCSE

Note relevant to statutory education targets:

National Strategies are used to set education targets. Targets selected must be either the aggregate of all the school targets or the level achieved by the top 25% of schools in the country (whichever is highest). Schools are encouraged to set challenging targets to raise aspirations, which when brought together result in a very challenging target for the Borough as a whole.

Tameside achieves above the national averages at KS2 which is excellent progress given the starting point of our children.

NIS 73	Key Stage 2 - level 4 or above in both English and Maths (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	Tameside : 71.2% National Ave : n/a	2007	74% (2008)	▲	R	78% (2008)
NIS 74	Key Stage 3 - level 5 or above in both English and Maths (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	Tameside : 63.5% National Average : n/a	2007	65.7% (2008)	▲	R	74% (2008)

Code	Measure	Priority	Lead Agency	Thematic Partnership	Baseline		Year End 2008-09			Target 2008/9
					Data	Period	Performance	Direction of Travel	Target	
NIS 83	Key Stage 3 - level 5 or above in science (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	Tameside : 68% National Average : 73%	2007	68% (2008)		R	75% (2008)
NIS 75	5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	Tameside : 41.8% National Average : 46.8%	2007	41.8% (2008)		R	44% (2008)
NIS 93	Progression by 2 levels in English between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2 (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	83.60%	2007	82.8% (2008)		R	90% (2008)
NIS 94	Progression by 2 levels in Maths between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2 (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	75%	2007	80.7% (2008)		R	81% (2008)
NIS 95	Progression by 2 levels in English between Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3 (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	25%	2007	n/a	n/a	R	33% (2008)
NIS 96	Progression by 2 levels in Maths between Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3 (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	50%	2007	n/a	n/a	R	64% (2008)
NIS 97	Progression by 2 levels in English between Key Stage 3 and Key Stage 4 (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	52%	2007	49.8% (2008)		R	66% (2008)
NIS 98	Progression by 2 levels in Maths between Key Stage 3 and Key Stage 4 (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	28%	2007	20.5% (2008)		R	31% (2008)
NIS 99	Looked after children reaching level 4 in English at Key Stage 2 (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	52.3%	2007	65% (2008)		G	50% (2008)
NIS 100	Looked after children reaching level 4 in Maths at Key Stage 2 (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	57.1%	2007	65% (2008)		G	50% (2008)
NIS 101	Looked after children achieving 5 A*-C GCSEs (or equivalent) at Key Stage 4 (including English and Maths) (%)	H	TMBC	CYP	21.7%	2007	8.7% (2008)		R	21% (2008)
NIS 72	Achievement of at least 78 points across the Early Years Foundation Stage (% of children achieving 78 points or more)	H	TMBC	CYP	43%	2007	49% (2008)		n/a	52% (2008/09 School Year)
NIS 92	Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20% in the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and the rest (% point difference between average score for all children and the lowest performing 20%)	L	TMBC	CYP	36.8%	2007	34.1% (2008)		n/a	26.8% (2008/09 School Year)
NIS 79	Achievement of a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19 (% of the academic cohort studying in the area at age 15 who achieved Level 2 by age 19)	H	LSC	CYP	66.4%	2006/07	70%		R	72.3% (Academic Year 2007/08 reported in Feb 2009)
NIS 80	Achievement of a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19 (% of the academic cohort studying in the area at age 15 who achieved Level 3 by age 19)	H	LSC	CYP	35.3%	2006/07	40%		G	39.8% (2007/08 reported in Feb 2009)

To improve outcomes for children and young people - economic wellbeing

NIS 117	16-18 year olds NEET (NEET - not in education, employment or training) (%)	L	CX and TMBC	CYP	8.1%	Nov 07-Jan 08	8.1%		R	7.3% (Nov 08 - Jan 09)
---------	--	---	-------------	-----	------	---------------	------	--	---	------------------------

Code	Measure	Priority	Lead Agency	Thematic Area	Year End 2008-09			Target 2008/9
					Data	Period	Performance	

To improve outcomes for children and young people - be healthy

NIS 50	Emotional health of children (% pupils from the Tell Us survey - year 6, 8 and 10)	H	TMBC	CYP/ HP	Baseline - Tell Us 3 (2008)		66.1% (2008)	n/a	n/a	n/a
NIS 55	Obesity - reception year (% of reception year pupils who have been measured who are recorded as obese)	L	TGPCT	CYP/ HP	9.6%	2007/08 (School Year 2006/07)	9.8% (School Year 2007/08)	▼	R	9.68% (School Year 2007/08)
NIS 56	Obesity - year 6 (% of year 6 pupils who have been measured who are recorded as obese)	L	TGPCT	CYP/ HP	15.3%	2007/08 (School Year 2006/07)	19.1% (School Year 2007/08)	n/a	n/a	15.27% (School Year 2007/08)

To improve outcomes for children and young people - making a positive contribution

NIS 87	Secondary school persistent absence (% and number of authorised and unauthorised absences)	L	TMBC	CYP	Rate: 7.7% Number: 1,184	2007/08 (School year 2006/7)	Rate : 5.7% (School Year 2007/08)	▲	G	Rate: 6.1% Number: 919 (School year 2008/9)
NIS 110	Positive Activities (participation of young people) (% of young people from TellUs survey eg. sports, arts, youth groups)	H	TMBC	CYP	Baseline - Tell Us 3 (2008)		66.4% (2008)	n/a	n/a	n/a
NIS 112	Under 18 conception rate (rate per 1,000 girls aged 15-17 years, % change to baseline year 1998, actual number)	L	TMBC	CYP/ HP	Rate : 54.4 % change : +1.4% Number : 252	2006	Rate : 54.9 % change : +2.4% Number : 249 (2007)	▼	R	Rate : 40.6 % change : -24% Number : 184 (2008)

To improve outcomes for children and young people - staying safe

NIS 69	Bullying (children who have experienced) (% pupils from the Tell Us survey - year 6, 8 and 10)	L	TMBC	CYP	27%	Tell Us 2 2007	15% (2008)	▲	G	26% Tell Us 3 2008
---------------	---	---	------	-----	-----	----------------	------------	---	----------	-----------------------

To improve health outcomes: mortality rates, health inequalities, smoking, obesity

Mortality Rates - Directly Age Standardised - the indicator is a measure of the number of deaths per 100,000 of the population per calendar year. The most recently available standardised data for all ages and all cause for Tameside is 884 for men and 615 for women. For comparison the equivalent figures for England are 732 and 512 respectively.

NIS 120	Mortality rate - all age all cause - MALE (Directly age standardised rate per 100,000 population)	L	TGPCT	HP	Rate: 842 - Male	1996 (1995-97)	858 (2005-2006-2007)	▼	R	Rate: 803 - Male 2009 (2008-10)
	Mortality rate - all age all cause - FEMALE (Directly age standardised rate per 100,000 population)	L	TGPCT	HP	Rate: 611 - Female	1996 (1995-97)	622 (2005-2006-2007)	▼	R	Rate: 561 - Female 2009 (2008-10)
NIS 121	Mortality rate - from CVD under 75 (Directly age standardised rate per 100,000 population)	L	TGPCT	HP	Rate: 104.37 Rate: 120	2005 (2004-06)	117 (2005-2006-2007)	▲	R	Rate: 95.91 2009 (2008-10)
Local 8	Mortality rate - inequalities between male and female (Directly age standardised rate per 100,000 population)	L	TGPCT	HP	Rate: 917 - Male 629 - Female	2004 (2003-05)	n/a	n/a	n/a	Rate: 842 - Male 569 - Female 2009 (2008-10)

Code	Measure	Priority	Lead Agency	Thematic Partners	Baseline		Year End 2008-09			Target 2008/9
					Data	Period	Performance	Direction of Travel	Target	
NIS 123	Stopped smoking (4 week smoking quitters aged 16 or over) (Number and rate per 100,000 population) Note : those who have quit and are recorded through the quit smoking service.	H	TGPCT	HP	Rate : 866.08	Avg 04/05, 05/06, 06/07	Number: 1837	▲	G	Number : 1528 Rate : 885.86
NIS 8	Adult participation in sport & active recreation (% of people doing 30 minutes or more, 3 times a week)	H	TMBC	HP/CCCP	17.51%	2006	18.8% (2008)	▲	G	18.5%
NIS 39	Alcohol-harm related hospital admission rates (Rate per 100,000 population)	L	TGPCT	HP	Rate: 1876	2006/07	2044 (2007/08)	▼	R	Rate: 2588.47 Number: 142 reduction

Supporting people to live independently

NIS 141	Vulnerable people - % achieve independent living (% of service users who moved on from supported accomodation in a planned way to independent living)	H	TMBC	HP / HoP / OPP	81.0%	Q4 2006/07 Q1-3 2007/08	87.98%	▲	G	81.5%
NIS 142	Vulnerable people - % supported to maintain independent living (% of service users supported to establish and maintain independence, ie not moving into institutionalised care)	H	TMBC	HoP	98.92%	Q4 2006/07 Q1-3 2007/08	98.87%	▼	R	98.95%
NIS 125	Independence older people through rehabilitation/intermediate care (% aged 65+, discharged and still living at home after 3 months)	H	TMBC	OPP	78%	National Pilot	81.8%	▲	G	80%
NIS 130	Self Directed Support (DP/IB) (Number and rate per 100,000 population aged 18+)	H	TMBC	HP/ OPP	Rate: 88 Number: 132 approx	2006/07	150	▲	n/a	Rate: 200 Number: 355
NIS 136	People supported to live independently through social care services (all ages) (Rate per 100,000 population aged 18+)	H	TMBC	HP/ OPP	Rate: 2991	As per CSIP guidance	Rate : 4131.47	▲	G	Rate: 3000
NIS 139	Extent to which older people receive the support they need to live independently at home (%)	H	TMBC	OPP	2008 Place Survey.		32% (+/- 3.4%)	n/a	n/a	Targets will be set when baseline available.
Local 10	Regular volunteering (older people) (Number)	H	TMBC	OPP	57	2007/08	93	▲	G	90
Local 11	DLA+AA/PC/CTB - benefit claims (Number)	H	TMBC	OPP	DLA / AA - 519 PC - 615 CTB - 205	2005/06	DLA /AA - 776 PC - 769 CTB - 455	▲	G	DLA/AA - 519 PC - 615 CTB - 205

To promote work and reduce overall inequalities

NIS 152	Working age people on out of work benefits (% and number of working age population Male: 16-64 Female: 16-59)	L	Job Centre Plus	TELP	Rate: 15.8% Number: 21,100	May 2007	Rate: 15.7% Number: 21,035 (Aug 2008)	▲	R	Rate: 15% Number: 20,032 (May 2009)
---------	--	---	-----------------	------	-------------------------------	----------	--	---	---	--

Code	Measure	Priority	Lead Agency	Thematic Partnership	Baseline		Year End 2008-09			Target 2008/9
					Data	Period	Performance	Direction of Travel	Target	
NIS 153	Working age people claiming out of work benefits in the worst performing neighbourhoods (Number and % of working age population. Worst performing areas. LSOA with rate 25% plus)	L	Job Centre Plus	TELP	Rate: 31.9% Number: 5,895	May 2007	Rate: 30.6% Number: 5,625 (Aug 2008)	▲	R	Rate: 30.4% Number: 5,618 (May 2009)
NIS 173	Flows onto incapacity benefits - claimants moving directly from employment to IB (Number and % of working population)	L	Job Centre Plus	TELP	Rate: 0.709%	May 2007	Rate: 0.715% Number: 700 (Aug 2008)	▼	R	Rate: 0.515% Number: 500 (May 2009)
Local 12	Out of work benefits - 50+ (% of working age population out of work who are 50+)	L	Job Centre Plus	TELP	36.35%	May 2007	35.37% 8,800 claimants (Aug 2008)	▲	G	36.07% (May 2009)

To increase enterprise: promote self employment and inward investment

NIS 171	New business registration rate (Rate per 100,00 population aged 16+)	H	TMBC	TELP	Awaiting baseline data from ONS		83.0%	n/a	n/a	Targets will be set when baseline available.
Local 13	Self employment/small enterprise (1-2 person) - BETA model (% of GM total in Tameside)	H	TMBC	TELP	7.81%	April 2007	8.07% (April 2009)	▲	G	7.81%
Local 14	Business start ups (supported) - new (Number)	H	TMBC	TELP	95	2007/08	143	▲	G	120
Local 15	People resident in priority neighbourhoods filling jobs from inward investment (%)	H	TMBC	TELP	23.7%	April 2008	23.7%	◀▶	R	25%

To improve skills

NIS 161	Learners achieving a Level 1 qualification in literacy (Number)	H	LSC	TELP	Improving basic skills is an important priority for Tameside. In accordance with GONW advice targets will be set and designated for these indicators when baseline and reliable		1219 (2006/07)	n/a	n/a	Targets will be set when baseline data is available
NIS 162	Learners achieving an Entry Level 3 qualification in numeracy (Number)	H	LSC	TELP			240 (2006/07)	n/a	n/a	Targets will be set when baseline data is available
NIS 163	Working age population - Level 2 or higher (% of working age population)	H	LSC	TELP	63.0%	2006	62.8% (2007)	▲	R	+5.6% points
NIS 164	Working age population - Level 3 or higher (% of working age population)	H	LSC	TELP	39.8%	2006	40.4% (2007)	▲	R	+4.1% points